

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 072260

12

ORIGIN NEA-12

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 AID-20 PC-10 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01

PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20 USIA-15 IO-14 IGA-02 /158 R

DRAFTED BY NEA/PAB:ASCHIFFERDECKER:MEJ

APPROVED BY NEA:RPDAVIES

NEA/PAB:PDCONSTABLE

NEA:SSOBER

AID/AA/ASIA:AWHITE(DRAFT)

PC/NANEAP:JSCANLON(DRAFT)

AID/ASIA/NE:LSTAMBERG(DRAFT)

DOD/ISA:GENSUMNER(DRAFT)

USIA/INA:GFAUSTIN(DRAFT)

----- 025790

R 100018Z APR 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY KABUL

INFO AMEMBASSY COLOMBO

AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

AMEMBASSY KATHMANDU

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

CINCPAC HONOLULU HI

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 072260

CINCPAC FOR POLAD

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS; PFOR, AF, US

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF U.S. POLICY TOWARD AFGHANISTAN

REF: KABUL 1090 AND A-12

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 072260

FOR AMBASSADOR

1. WE HAVE EXAMINED WITH INTEREST RESULTS OF MISSION'S REVIEW OF U.S. POLICY TOWARD AFGHANISTAN (REFTEL), ALONG WITH REPORT OF REVIEW GROUP. WE COMMEND YOUR THOROUGH EFFORTS, PARTICULARLY MISSION'S OPENNESS IN INVOLVING ALL ELEMENTS OF COUNTRY TEAM AND INCLUSION OF DISSENTING VIEWS. YOUR REVIEW IS TIMELY BOTH IN TERMS OF NEW REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL U.S. FOREIGN POLICY ASSESSMENT (FAM 212), AND IN VIEW RECENT POLITICAL EVOLUTION UNDER DAOUD GOVERNMENT. WE ENDORSE MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS OF YOUR REVIEW, WHICH WE NOTE REMAIN IN BASIC ACCORD WITH 1969 NSC/IG POLICY PAPER ON AFGHANISTAN. DEPT COMMENT FOLLOWS ON SELECTED PORTIONS OF YOUR POLICY REVIEW MESSAGE AND REVIEW GROUP'S PAPER.

2. WE AGREE THAT BASIC U.S. INTERESTS IN AFGHANISTAN REMAIN UNCHANGED BY ADVENT OF DAOUD. USG INTERESTS THERE CONTINUE TO BE INTERWOVEN WITH OUR INTERESTS IN PROMOTING PEACE, STABILITY AND COOPERATION AMONG NATIONS OF REGION,

PARTICULARLY NEIGHBORING IRAN AND PAKISTAN. TO EXTENT THAT AFGHANISTAN REMAINS STABLE, INDEPENDENT AND NON-ALIGNED, US POLICY INTERESTS IN THE REGION ARE WELL SERVED. AN AFGHANISTAN REGARDED BY OTHER COUNTRIES IN AREA AS A THREAT - EITHER BY ITS OWN INTEMPERATE ACTIONS OR THROUGH DOMINATION BY ANOTHER POWER - WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO OUR POLICY GOALS IN THE REGION.

3. YOUR REVIEW NOTES THAT GOA RECOGNIZES IMPORTANCE OF USG PRESENCE IN COUNTRY AS PRIMARILY POLITICAL. WHILE OUR PRESENCE IS PRIMARILY IN FORM OF DEVELOPMENTAL ASSISTANCE, WE AGREE THAT OUR ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS MUST CONTINUE TO BE BASED ON THEIR OWN MERITS. WE DO NOT REPEAT NOT PERCEIVE PRESENT OR FUTURE GROUNDS WHEREIN POLITICAL CONSIDERATIONS WOULD LEAD US TO APPROVE UNSOUND DEVELOPMENTAL PROJECTS. AT SAME TIME WORLDWIDE CONSTRAINTS ON US ASSISTANCE COULD INHIBIT ADOPTION OF OTHERWISE DESIRABLE PROJECTS FROM BOTH POLITICAL AND DEVELOPMENTAL VIEWPOINTS. IN THIS REGARD, WE ENDORSE REVIEW GROUP'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON INCREASING EFFECTIVENESS OF USG ASSISTANCE TOWARD PROGRAMS WITH BROAD DEVELOPMENTAL BENEFITS FOR THE POOREST MAJORITY. WE ALSO  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 072260

CONCUR IN MISSION'S INTENT TO UNDERTAKE REVIEW AT THIS TIME OF ALL US PROGRAM AND PROJECT ACTIVITIES, IN CONTEXT OF TOTAL US EFFORT IN COUNTRY.

4. DEVELOPMENT OF MORE COLLABORATIVE STYLE WITH GOA SHOULD HELP INSURE THAT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS ARE AFGHAN-PROPOSED AND HAVE FULLEST AFGHAN SUPPORT. IN THIS REGARD, GOA REQUEST FOR CONTINUED US ASSISTANCE IN HELMAND SHOULD

BE HANDLED IN WAY THAT INSURES AFGHANS ARE OUT IN FRONT IN EVERY PHASE OF REVIEW, AND IN INITIATION OF ANY PROPOSED NEW ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING CURRENT ASSESSMENT.

5. CONCERNING PROGRAMS OTHER THAN USAID, PEACE CORPS APPROACH TO DEALING WITH GOA SHOULD PARALLEL THAT OF AID IN STYLE. WE NOTE THAT CERTAIN PC PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN STYMIED, OWING PARTLY TO PREFERENCES AND POLICIES OF NEW PERSONNEL IN GOA. CAREFUL TAILORING OF PC PROGRAMS IN AREAS OF PRIORITY TO BOTH GOA AND USG WILL BE NECESSARY AS WE ATTEMPT TO MEET OBJECTIVES OF BOTH OUR COUNTRIES. RE MAP, WE CONCUR THAT PROGRAM SHOULD CONTINUE AT ABOUT PRESENT LEVEL, SUBJECT TO GOA DESIRES AND WORLDWIDE MAP PRIORITIES AND FUNDING. WE VIEW USIS PROGRAMMING AT OR NEAR PRESENT LEVEL AS ABOUT RIGHT FOR AFGHANISTAN. ANY NEW RESTRICTIONS ON USG CULTURAL, EDUCATIONAL OR INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS, IF POINTED AT U.S. AND NOT OTHERS,

WOULD PROBABLY INDICATE OVERALL SHIFT IN GOA POLICY AND ATTITUDE TOWARD TOTAL US PRESENCE WHICH MIGHT NECESSITATE GENERAL REVIEW OF POLICY TOWARD COUNTRY.

6. ON FOREIGN POLICY WE ARE IN GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH REFTEL RECOMMENDATION TO CONTINUE LINE WE HAVE TAKEN IN PAST TO PROMOTE IMPROVED AFGHAN RELATIONS WITH NEIGHBORING PAKISTAN AND IRAN. ON PUSHTUNISTAN, WE SHOULD CONTINUE TO STAY OUT OF MIDDLE, WHILE RESERVING OPTION TO TAKE MORE ACTIVE ROLE IF CIRCUMSTANCES WARRANT, SUCH AS THREAT TO PAKISTAN'S INTERNAL STABILITY OR OF MORE ADVENTURIST AFGHAN POLICY. AT SAME TIME, WE SHOULD CONTINUE TO MAKE KNOWN OUR COMMITMENT TO INTEGRITY OF PAKISTAN AND DESIRE FOR PEACEFUL RESOLUTION THIS PROBLEM.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 072260

7. FINALLY, DEPARTMENT ENDORSES MISSION'S INCREASED EFFORTS TOWARD IMPROVED UNDERSTANDING BY AMERICANS OF AFGHAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE AND OTHER MEASURES TO DECREASE "GHETTO MENTALITY" OF AMERICAN COMMUNITY IN KABUL. WE AGREE SUCH MOVES WILL ENHANCE AMERICAN PRESENCE AND FOREIGN POLICY OBJECTIVES IN AFGHANISTAN. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN



## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, FOREIGN ASSISTANCE  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974STATE072260  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** ASCHIFFERDECKER:MEJ  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740082-0181  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740451/aaaabukw.tel  
**Line Count:** 160  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN NEA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** KABUL 1090 AND A-12  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 05 JUN 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <05 JUN 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <06 MAR 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** REVIEW OF U.S. POLICY TOWARD AFGHANISTAN  
**TAGS:** PFOR, AF, US  
**To:** KABUL  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005